

J. Knies, J.E.M.H. van Bronswijk. *The relevance of mobility, socializing and privacy for Aging-in-Place*. *Gerontechnology* 2008; 7(2):140. Aging-in-place is popular in our greying society¹. However, barriers exist in dwellings or the built environments as a whole. With the 4th phase of life characterised by restrictions in functioning², the living environment needs to adapt to the changed aspirations, needs and abilities of the dwelling occupant. Restrictions influence the activities and participation in everyday life³, with declining mobility being strongly correlated to a reduced health-related quality of life⁴. This contribution assesses the relevance of mobility for successful aging-in-place, also in relation to the subsequent shifts in desires in the domains of socializing and privacy. **Methods** The following resources were used in desk research: Google Scholar, Science Direct, Web-of-Science, PubMed, Merck Medical handbooks, Reports of the World Health Organisations and the Dutch Institute of Public Health and Environment. Keywords utilized in literature searches included Mobility, Privacy, Aging-in-Place, Independence, and Quality of Life. **Results and discussion** A prerequisite for successful aging-in-place is the older person's satisfaction, in spite of restrictions. Although general pain and mental fitness also influence life satisfaction, their perception depends less on physical surroundings than mobility. General activity and mobility is beneficial for sustained health and well-being⁵. Restrictions in mobility have consequences both for the ability to socialize and protect ones privacy and for aspirations in these domains. Socializing in the 4th phase of life is hindered by a higher prevalence of chronic diseases and diminishing physical (thus including sensory) functioning and of a shrinking social network. Sensory sensitivity decreases the level of exposure deemed comfortable⁶. This also influences the sense of privacy. Coupled with decreased mobility, ones world will shrink. The person's privacy preferences will alter accordingly. **Conclusion** From the start of the 4th age on, mobility becomes more and more restricted. As a result, the aspirations and needs in respect to socializing and privacy will shift. More and more activities are restricted to the home environment. Taking these changes into account may improve Quality of Life of occupants in an Aging-in-Place setting.

References

1. Gezondheidsraad. Den Haag: Gezondheidsraad; 2005
2. Baltes PB, Smith Journal of Gerontology 2003;49:123-135
3. WHO. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2002
4. Groessl EJ, Kaplan RM, Rejeski J, Katula JA, King AC, Frierson G, Glynn NW, Hsu F-CH, Walkup M, Pahor M. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 2007;33:214-218
5. Heikkinen RL. Geneva: The Finnish Centre for interdisciplinary Gerontology & WHO; 1998
6. Beers MH, Fletcher AJ, Jones TV, Porter R, Berkwits M, Kaplan JT, editors. The Merck Manual of Medical information. 2nd home edition. Whitehouse Station: Merck; 2005

Keywords: mobility, privacy, socializing, Aging-in-Place

Address: Eindhoven University of Technology, the Netherlands; E: j.knies@tue.nl