

Liu DP, Lin JH, Chiu SC, Chen HY, Tsao KC, Huang CG, Huang YL, Mok CK, Chen CJ, Lin TY, Wang JR, Kao CL, Lin KH, Chen LK, Eng HL, Liu YC, Chen PY, Lin JS, Wang JH, Lin CW, Chan YJ, Lu JJ, Hsiung CA, Chen PJ, Su IJ. Laboratory-Based Surveillance and Molecular Epidemiology of Influenza Virus in Taiwan. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2005;43(4):1651–1661

8. Casdagli M. Chaos and deterministic versus stochastic nonlinear modelling. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B* 1992;54(2):303–328
9. Grassberger P, Schreiber T, Schaffrath C.

Nonlinear time sequence analysis. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* 1991;1(3):521–547

Hui-Min Hua PhD Candidate (Computer Science & Engineering)

Yuan Ze University, Tao-Yuan, Taiwan
E: tinahmh@nkut.edu.tw

Baw-Jhiune Liu PhD (Computer Science & Engineering)

Yuan Ze University, Tao-Yuan, Taiwan
E: bjliu@saturn.yzu.edu.tw

doi:10.4017/gt.2008.07.04.023.00

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN BREAST CANCER SCREENING

The European Silver Paper recommends the implementation of evidence-based screening tests for older people¹. Breast cancer can strike women at any age and early diagnosis through regular screening is useful in enhancing probability of survival. Research has shown that the risk of developing breast cancer increases with age². Breast cancer is the most frequent cancer among women worldwide. In Europe it concerns 26.5% of all new cancer cases and accounts for 17.5% of cancer deaths. In the enlarged EU, there are around 270,000 new cases of breast cancer each year and 96,000 cancer deaths. Prevalence is rising in the EU due to increasing age, and shows no signs of levelling off³. In 2006, EU guidelines⁴ stipulated that free high quality breast screening should be provided every two years for women aged 50 and above. Among older women, breast cancer is a major burden and AGE – the European Older People's Platform⁵ – is convinced that the pronounced disparity between EU Member States in survival rates could be substantially reduced by the implementation of population-based high quality screening programmes open to all women aged 50+.

Benefits and harms

European health care systems need to be adequately equipped to address the needs

of the ageing populations they serve. This remains no less true for the routine breast cancer screening of older women and their subsequent treatment when a cancer is detected. AGE is concerned that substantial numbers of older women are not included in targeted mammography screening programmes and that the limits of the target age for such screening in the EU do not extend beyond 75 years in many Member States. Regular mammography screening significantly reduces mortality from breast cancer. This applies equally to women aged 70+ who face a higher absolute risk for breast cancer, if their life expectancy is not compromised by co-morbid disease. Evidence suggests⁶ that the benefits of regular mammography increase with age, whereas the likelihood of harms from screening (false positive results, unnecessary anxiety, biopsies, and cost) diminishes from ages 40–70 years. The balance between benefits and potential harms therefore grows more favourable as women age.

Self-referral systems do not deliver

AGE is also concerned over the use of self-referral systems as these fail to deliver⁷. The fact that older women above 70 no longer receive reminders conveys the erroneous message that they are no longer at risk from breast cancer, despite the fact that they are more susceptible to developing this disease than younger women. This

is a clear example of indirect age discrimination. AGE therefore questions the wisdom of an upper age limit in regular free screening for breast cancer.

Recommendations

AGE calls for measures which would promote and facilitate access for women aged 50+ to free breast screening and to any necessary subsequent treatment. In particular, AGE considers that there is a need for:

- (i) the recognition of age as a factor in contributing to health inequalities including access to breast cancer screening for all women, regardless of age;
- (ii) a raised awareness of the lack of breast cancer screening for older women;
- (iii) an enhanced understanding by both the medical profession and the general public that ageism manifests itself in the way that medical prognosis and care is delivered.

References

1. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Franco A, Sommer P, Baeyens JP, Jankowska E, Maggi E, Ponikowski P, Ryś A, Szczecińska K, Milewicz A. European silver paper on the future of health promotion and preventive actions, basic research, and

clinical aspects of age-related disease. *Gerontechnology* 2008;7(4):331-339; doi:10.4017/gt.2008.07.04.001.00

2. <http://info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/types/breast/riskfactors/#age>; retrieved November 7, 2008
3. <http://europa.eu/rapid/pressReleasesAction.do?reference=MEMO/06/161&format=HTML&aged=0&language=EN&guiLanguage=en>; retrieved October 21, 2008
4. EU Guidelines on Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities; 2006; ISBN: 92-79-01258-4; Catalogue #ND-73-06-954-EN-C
5. AGE - the European Older People's Platform; <http://www.age-platform.org/EN/>; retrieved November 10, 2008
6. www.breastcancer.org; retrieved October 21, 2008
7. Wear it Pink Campaign; www.wearitpink.co.uk/home; retrieved October 21, 2008

AGE – the European Older People's Platform, Brussels, Belgium

Anne-Sophie Parent BA (Hons)

E: annesophie.parent@age-platform.org

Rachel Buchanan BA (Hons), MSc (LSE)

E: rachel.buchanan@age-platform.org

doi:10.4017/gt.2008.07.04.024.00